arXiv:0712.2293v1 [math.RT] 14 Dec 2007

Representation theory of the α-determinant and zonal spherical functions

Kazufumi KIMOTO

December 14, 2007

Abstract

We prove that the multiplicity of each irreducible component in the $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$-cyclic module generated by the l-th power $\det^{(\alpha)}(X)^l$ of the α-determinant is given by the rank of a matrix whose entries are given by a variation of the spherical Fourier transformation for $(\mathfrak{S}_{nl}, \mathfrak{S}_l^n)$. Further, we calculate the matrix explicitly when $n = 2$. This gives not only another proof of the result by Kimoto-Matsumoto-Wakayama (2007) but also a new aspect of the representation theory of the α-determinants.

Keywords: Alpha-determinant, cyclic modules, irreducible decomposition, Gelfand pair, zonal spherical functions, Jacobi polynomials.

2000 Mathematical Subject Classification: 22E47, 43A90.

1 Introduction

Let n be a positive integer. We denote by $\mathfrak{S}_n$ the symmetric group of degree n. For a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we define

$$\nu(\sigma) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i \geq 1} (i-1) m_i(\sigma) \qquad (\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n),$$

where $m_i(\sigma)$ is the number of i-cycles in the disjoint cycle decomposition of σ. We notice that $\nu(\cdot)$ is a class function on $\mathfrak{S}_n$. It is easy to see that $(-1)^{\nu(\sigma)} = \operatorname{sgn}\sigma$ is the signature of a permutation σ.

Let α be a complex number and $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ an n by n matrix. The α-determinant $\det^{(\alpha)}(A)$ of A is defined by

$$\det{}^{(\alpha)}(A) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \alpha^{\nu(\sigma)} a_{\sigma(1)1} a_{\sigma(2)2} \dots a_{\sigma(n)n}. \tag{1.1}$$

We readily see that the α-determinant $\det^{(\alpha)}(A)$ coincides with the determinant $\det(A)$ (resp. permanent $\operatorname{per}(A)$) of A when $\alpha = -1$ (resp. $\alpha = 1$). Hence we regard the α-determinant as a common generalization of the determinant and permanent.

The α-determinant is first introduced by Vere-Jones [11]. He proved the identity

$$\det(I - \alpha A)^{-1/\alpha} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq n} \det{}^{(\alpha)} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 i_1} & \dots & a_{i_1 i_k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{i_k i_1} & \dots & a_{i_k i_k} \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.2}$$

for an n by n matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ such that the absolute value of any eigenvalue of A is less than 1. Here I denotes the identity matrix of suitable size. His intention of the study of the α-determinant is an application to probability theory. Actually, the identity (1.2) supplies a unified treatment of the multivariate binomial and negative binomial distributions. Further, Shirai and Takahashi [10] proved a Fredholm determinant version of (1.2) for a trace class integral operator and use it to define a certain one-parameter family of point processes. We note that a pfaffian analogue of the Vere-Jones identity (1.2) has been also established and is applied to probability theory by Matsumoto [7]. It is also worth noting that (1.2) is obtained by specializing $p_i(x) = \alpha^{i-1}$ and regarding $y_1, \dots, y_n$ as eigenvalues of A in the Cauchy identity

$$\prod_{i,j\geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j} = \sum_{\lambda} \frac{1}{z_\lambda} p_\lambda(x) p_\lambda(y), \tag{1.3}$$

where λ in the right-hand side runs over the set of all partitions, z_λ denotes the cardinality of the centralizer of a permutation whose cycle type is λ, and p_λ denotes the power-sum symmetric function corresponding to λ (see [6] for detailed information on symmetric functions). In fact, under the specialization, the left-hand side of (1.3) becomes $\det(1 - \alpha t)^{-1/\alpha}$ and the right-hand side represents its expansion in terms of α-determinants (see also [3]).

In this article, we focus our attention on the representation-theoretic aspect of the α-determinant. Let $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ be the universal enveloping algebra of the general linear Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_n = \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$, and $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Mat}_n)$ be the polynomial algebra in the n^2 variable x_{ij} $(1 \leq i, j \leq n)$. We put $X = (x_{ij})_{1\leq i,j\leq n}$ and write an element in $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Mat}_n)$ as $f(X)$ in short. The algebra $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Mat}_n)$ becomes a left $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$-module via

$$E_{ij} \cdot f(X) = \sum_{s=1}^{n} x_{is} \frac{\partial f(X)}{\partial x_{js}}$$

for $f(X) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Mat}_n)$ where $\{E_{ij}\}_{1\leq i,j\leq n}$ is the standard basis of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$. Now we regard the α-determinant $\det^{(\alpha)}(X)$ of X as an element in $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Mat}_n)$ and consider the cyclic submodule

$$\boldsymbol{V}_{n,l}(\alpha) \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \cdot \det{}^{(\alpha)}(X)^l$$

of $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Mat}_n)$. Since

$$\boldsymbol{V}_{n,1}(-1) = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \cdot \det(X) \cong \mathcal{M}_n^{(1^n)}, \qquad \boldsymbol{V}_{n,1}(1) = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \cdot \det(X) \cong \mathcal{M}_n^{(n)}, \tag{1.4}$$

the module $\boldsymbol{V}_{n,1}(\alpha)$ is regarded as an interpolation of these two irreducible representations. Here we denote by $\mathcal{M}_n^\lambda$ the irreducible $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$-module whose highest weight is λ. We notice that we can identify the dominant integral weights with partitions as far as we consider the polynomial representations of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$.

Our main concern is to solve the

Problem 1.1. Describe the irreducible decomposition of the $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$-module $\boldsymbol{V}_{n,l}(\alpha)$ explicitly.

In [4], the following general result on $\boldsymbol{V}_{n,l}(\alpha)$ is proved.

Theorem 1.2. *For each $\lambda \vdash nl$ such that $\ell(\lambda) \leq n$, there exists a certain square matrix $\boldsymbol{F}_{n,l}^\lambda(\alpha)$ of size $K_{\lambda(l^n)}$ whose entries are polynomials in α such that*

$$\boldsymbol{V}_{n,l}(\alpha) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda\vdash nl \\ \ell(\lambda)\leq n}} (\mathcal{M}_n^\lambda)^{\oplus \operatorname{rk} \boldsymbol{F}_{n,l}^\lambda(\alpha)}.$$

Here $K_{\lambda\mu}$ denotes the Kostka number and $\ell(\lambda)$ is the length of λ.

We call this matrix $\boldsymbol{F}_{n,l}^\lambda(\alpha)$ the *transition matrix* for λ in $\boldsymbol{V}_{n,l}(\alpha)$. We notice that the transition matrix is determined up to conjugacy. Thus, Problem 1.1 is reduced to the determination of the matrices $\boldsymbol{F}_{n,l}^\lambda(\alpha)$ relative to a certain (nicely chosen) basis. Up to the present, we have obtained an explicit form of $\boldsymbol{F}_{n,l}^\lambda(\alpha)$ in only several particular cases.

Example 1.3. When $l = 1$, Problem 1.1 is completely solved in [8] as follows: For each positive integer n, we have

$$\boldsymbol{V}_{n,1}(\alpha) = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \cdot \det{}^{(\alpha)}(X) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda\vdash n \\ f_\lambda(\alpha)\neq 0}} \left(\mathcal{M}_n^\lambda\right)^{\oplus f^\lambda}, \tag{1.5}$$

where $f_\lambda(\alpha)$ is a (modified) *content polynomial*

$$f_\lambda(\alpha) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} (1+(j-i)\alpha).$$

In other words, for each $\lambda \vdash n$, we have

$$\text{multiplicity of } \mathcal{M}_n^\lambda \text{ in } \boldsymbol{V}_{n,1}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 0 & \alpha \in \{1/k\,;\, 1 \le k < \ell(\lambda)\} \sqcup \{-1/k\,;\, 1 \le k < \lambda_1\}, \\ f^\lambda & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

The transition matrix $\boldsymbol{F}_{n,1}^\lambda(\alpha)$ in this case is given by $f_\lambda(\alpha)I$.

Example 1.4. When $n=2$, the transition matrix $\boldsymbol{F}_{2,l}^\lambda(\alpha)$ is of size 1 (i.e. just a polynomial) and it is shown in [4, Theorem 4.1] that

$$\boldsymbol{V}_{2,l}(\alpha) = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_2) \cdot \det{}^{(\alpha)}(X)^l \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{0 \le s \le l \\ F_{2,l}^{(2l-s,s)}(\alpha) \neq 0}} \mathcal{M}_2^{(2l-s,s)}, \tag{1.7}$$

where we put

$$\begin{aligned} F_{2,l}^{(2l-s,s)}(\alpha) &= (1+\alpha)^{l-s} G_s^l(\alpha), \\ G_s^l(\alpha) &= \sum_{j=0}^{l} \frac{(-s)_j (l-s+1)_j}{(-l)_j} \frac{(-\alpha)^j}{j!}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $(a)_j = \Gamma(a+j)/\Gamma(a)$ is the Pochhammer symbol. We note that $G_s^l(\alpha)$ is written by a *Jacobi polynomial* as

$$G_s^l(\alpha) = \binom{s-l-1}{s}^{-1} P^{(-l-1,2l-2s+1)}(1+2\alpha).$$

In this paper, we show that the entries of the transition matrices $\boldsymbol{F}_{n,l}^\lambda(\alpha)$ are given by a variation of the spherical Fourier transformation of a certain class function on $\mathfrak{S}_{nl}$ with respect to the subgroup $\mathfrak{S}_l^n$ (Theorem 2.5). This result also provides another proof of Theorem 1.2. Further, we give a new calculation of the polynomial $F_{2,l}^{(2l-s,s)}(\alpha)$ in Example 1.4 by using an explicit formula for the values of zonal spherical functions for the Gelfand pair $(\mathfrak{S}_{2n}, \mathfrak{S}_n \times \mathfrak{S}_n)$ due to Bannai and Ito (Theorem 3.1).

2 Irreducible decomposition of $\boldsymbol{V}_{n,l}(\alpha)$

Fix $n, l \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the standard tableau $\mathbb{T}$ with shape (l^n) such that the (i,j)-entry of $\mathbb{T}$ is $(i-1)l+j$. For instance, if $n=3$ and $l=2$, then

$$\mathbb{T} = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & 4 \\ \hline 5 & 6 \\ \hline \end{array}\,.$$

We denote by $K = R(\mathbb{T})$ and $H = C(\mathbb{T})$ the row group and column group of the standard tableau $\mathbb{T}$ respectively. Namely,

$$K = \{g \in \mathfrak{S}_{nl}\,;\, \lceil g(x) \rceil = \lceil x \rceil,\ x \in [nl]\}, \quad H = \{g \in \mathfrak{S}_{nl}\,;\, g(x) \equiv x \pmod{l},\ x \in [nl]\}, \tag{2.1}$$

where we denote by $[nl]$ the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, nl\}$. We put

$$e = \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} k \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_{nl}]. \tag{2.2}$$

This is clearly an idempotent element in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_{nl}]$. Let φ be a class function on H. We put

$$\Phi \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{h \in H} \varphi(h) h \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_{nl}].$$

Consider the tensor product space $V = (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes nl}$. We notice that V has a $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n), \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_{nl}])$-module structure given by

$$E_{ij} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{i_{nl}} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{s=1}^{nl} \delta_{i_s,j}\, \boldsymbol{e}_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overset{s\text{-th}}{\boldsymbol{e}_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{i_{nl}},$$
$$\boldsymbol{e}_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{i_{nl}} \cdot \sigma \overset{\text{def}}{=} \boldsymbol{e}_{i_{\sigma(1)}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{i_{\sigma(nl)}} \qquad (\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{nl})$$

where $\{\boldsymbol{e}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ denotes the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$. The main concern of this section is to solve the

Problem 2.1. Describe the irreducible decomposition of the left $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$-module $V \cdot e\Phi e$.

Here we show that Problem 2.1 includes Problem 1.1 as a special case. We consider the group isomorphism $\theta : H \to \mathfrak{S}_n^l$ defined by

$$\theta(h) \overset{\text{def}}{=} (\theta(h)_1, \ldots, \theta(h)_l); \quad \theta(h)_i(x) = y \iff h((x-1)l + i) = (y-1)l + i.$$

We also define an element $D(X; \varphi) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Mat}_n)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} D(X;\varphi) &\overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{h \in H} \varphi(h) \prod_{q=1}^{n} \prod_{p=1}^{l} x_{\theta(h)_p(q),q} = \sum_{h \in H} \varphi(h) \prod_{q=1}^{n} \prod_{p=1}^{l} x_{q,\theta(h)_p^{-1}(q)} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_l \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \varphi(\theta^{-1}(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_l)) \prod_{q=1}^{n} \prod_{p=1}^{l} x_{\sigma_p(q),q}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that $D(X; \alpha^{\nu(\cdot)}) = \det^{(\alpha)}(X)^l$ since $\nu(\theta^{-1}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_l)) = \nu(\sigma_1) + \cdots + \nu(\sigma_l)$ for $(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_l) \in \mathfrak{S}_l^n$.

Take a class function δ_H on H defined by

$$\delta_H(h) = \begin{cases} 1 & h = 1 \\ 0 & h \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

We see that $D(X; \delta_H) = (x_{11}x_{22} \ldots x_{nn})^l$. We need the following lemma (see [4, Lemma 2.1] for the proof of (1). The assertion (2) is immediate).

Lemma 2.2. (1) *It holds that*

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_1^{\otimes l} \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_n^{\otimes l} = V \cdot e = \mathrm{Sym}^l(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes n},$$
$$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \cdot D(X; \delta_H) = \bigoplus_{\substack{i_{pq} \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\} \\ (1 \le p \le l,\, 1 \le q \le n)}} \mathbb{C} \cdot \prod_{q=1}^{n} \prod_{p=1}^{l} x_{i_{pq}q} \cong \mathrm{Sym}^l(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes n}.$$

(2) *The map*

$$\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \cdot D(X; \delta_H) \ni \prod_{q=1}^{n} \prod_{p=1}^{l} x_{i_{pq}q} \longmapsto (\boldsymbol{e}_{i_{11}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{i_{l1}}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\boldsymbol{e}_{i_{1n}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{i_{ln}}) \cdot e \in V \cdot e$$

is a bijective $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$-intertwiner. □

We see that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}\left(D(X;\varphi)\right) &= \sum_{h\in H}\varphi(h)\mathcal{T}\left(\prod_{q=1}^{n}\prod_{p=1}^{l}x_{\theta(h)_p(q),q}\right)\\ &= \sum_{h\in H}\varphi(h)(\boldsymbol{e}_{\theta(h)_1(1)}\otimes\cdots\otimes\boldsymbol{e}_{\theta(h)_l(1)})\otimes\cdots\otimes(\boldsymbol{e}_{\theta(h)_1(n)}\otimes\cdots\otimes\boldsymbol{e}_{\theta(h)_l(n)})\cdot e\\ &= \boldsymbol{e}_1^{\otimes l}\otimes\cdots\otimes\boldsymbol{e}_n^{\otimes l}\cdot\sum_{h\in H}\varphi(h)h\cdot e = \boldsymbol{e}_1^{\otimes l}\otimes\cdots\otimes\boldsymbol{e}_n^{\otimes l}\cdot e\Phi e\end{aligned}$$

by (2) in Lemma 2.2. Using (1) in Lemma 2.2, we have the

Lemma 2.3. *It holds that*

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)\cdot D(X;\varphi)\cong V\cdot e\Phi e$$

as a left $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$-module. In particular, $V\cdot e\Phi e\cong\boldsymbol{V}_{n,l}(\alpha)$ if $\varphi(h)=\alpha^{\nu(h)}$. □

By the Schur-Weyl duality, we have

$$V\cong\bigoplus_{\lambda\vdash nl}\mathcal{M}_n^\lambda\boxtimes\mathcal{S}^\lambda.$$

Here $\mathcal{S}^\lambda$ denotes the irreducible unitary right $\mathfrak{S}_{nl}$-module corresponding to λ. We see that

$$\dim\left(\mathcal{S}^\lambda\cdot e\right)=\left\langle\operatorname{ind}_K^G\mathbf{1}_K,\,\mathcal{S}^\lambda\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{S}_{nl}}=K_{\lambda(l^n)}$$

where $\mathbf{1}_K$ is the trivial representation of K and $\langle\pi,\,\rho\rangle_{\mathfrak{S}_{nl}}$ is the intertwining number of given representations π and ρ of $\mathfrak{S}_{nl}$. Since $K_{\lambda(l^n)}=0$ unless $\ell(\lambda)\le n$, it follows the

Theorem 2.4. *It holds that*

$$V\cdot e\Phi e\cong\bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda\vdash nl\\ \ell(\lambda)\le n}}\mathcal{M}_n^\lambda\boxtimes\left(\mathcal{S}^\lambda\cdot e\Phi e\right).$$

In particular, as a left $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$-module, the multiplicity of $\mathcal{M}_n^\lambda$ in $V\cdot e\Phi e$ is given by

$$\dim\left(\mathcal{S}^\lambda\cdot e\Phi e\right)=\operatorname{rk}_{\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{S}^\lambda\cdot e)}(e\Phi e).$$

□

Let $\lambda\vdash nl$ be a partition such that $\ell(\lambda)\le n$ and put $d=K_{\lambda(l^n)}$. We fix an orthonormal basis $\{\boldsymbol{e}_1^\lambda,\dots,\boldsymbol{e}_{f^\lambda}^\lambda\}$ of $\mathcal{S}^\lambda$ such that the first d vectors $\boldsymbol{e}_1^\lambda,\dots,\boldsymbol{e}_d^\lambda$ form a subspace $(\mathcal{S}^\lambda)^K$ consisting of K-invariant vectors and left $f^\lambda-d$ vectors form the orthocomplement of $(\mathcal{S}^\lambda)^K$ with respect to the $\mathfrak{S}_{nl}$-invariant inner product. The matrix coefficient of $\mathcal{S}^\lambda$ relative to this basis is

$$\psi_{ij}^\lambda(g)=\left\langle\boldsymbol{e}_i^\lambda\cdot g,\,\boldsymbol{e}_j^\lambda\right\rangle_{\mathcal{S}^\lambda}\quad(g\in\mathfrak{S}_{nl},\ 1\le i,j\le f^\lambda).\tag{2.3}$$

We notice that this function is K-biinvariant. We see that the multiplicity of $\mathcal{M}_n^\lambda$ in $V\cdot e\Phi e$ is given by the rank of the matrix

$$\left(\sum_{h\in H}\varphi(h)\psi_{ij}^\lambda(h)\right)_{1\le i,j\le d}.$$

As a particular case, we obtain the

Theorem 2.5. *The multiplicity of the irreducible representation $\mathcal{M}_n^\lambda$ in the cyclic module $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)\cdot\det^{(\alpha)}(X)^l$ is equal to the rank of*

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{n,l}^\lambda(\alpha)=\left(\sum_{h\in H}\alpha^{\nu(h)}\psi_{ij}^\lambda(h)\right)_{1\le i,j\le d}, \tag{2.4}$$

where $\{\psi_{ij}^\lambda\}_{i,j}$ denotes a basis of the λ-component of the space $C(K\backslash\mathfrak{S}_{nl}/K)$ of K-biinvariant functions on $\mathfrak{S}_{nl}$ given by (2.3).

Remark 2.6. (1) By the definition of the basis $\{\psi_{ij}^\lambda\}_{i,j}$ in (2.3), we have $\boldsymbol{F}_{n,l}^\lambda(0)=I$.

(2) Since $\alpha^{\nu(g^{-1})}=\alpha^{\nu(g)}$ and $\psi_{ij}^\lambda(g^{-1})=\overline{\psi_{ji}^\lambda(g)}$ for any $g\in\mathfrak{S}_{nl}$, the transition matrices satisfy $\boldsymbol{F}_{n,l}^\lambda(\alpha)^*=\boldsymbol{F}_{n,l}^\lambda(\overline{\alpha})$.

(3) In Examples 1.3 and 1.4, the transition matrices are given by *diagonal matrices.* We expect that any transition matrix $\boldsymbol{F}_{n,l}^\lambda(\alpha)$ is *diagonalizable* in $\mathrm{Mat}_{K_{\lambda(l^n)}}(\mathbb{C}[\alpha])$.

Example 2.7 (Example 1.3)**.** If $l=1$, then $H=G=\mathfrak{S}_n$ and $K=\{1\}$. Therefore, for any $\lambda\vdash n$, we have

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{n,1}^\lambda(\varphi)=\frac{n!}{f^\lambda}\left\langle\varphi,\,\chi^\lambda\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{S}_n}I \tag{2.5}$$

by the orthogonality of the matrix coefficients. Here χ^λ denotes the irreducible character of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ corresponding to λ. In particular, if $\varphi=\alpha^{\nu(\cdot)}$, then

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{n,1}^\lambda(\alpha)=f_\lambda(\alpha)I \tag{2.6}$$

since the Fourier expansion of $\alpha^{\nu(\cdot)}$ (as a class function on $\mathfrak{S}_n$) is

$$\alpha^{\nu(\cdot)}=\sum_{\lambda\vdash n}\frac{f^\lambda}{n!}f_\lambda(\alpha)\chi^\lambda, \tag{2.7}$$

which is obtained by specializing the Frobenius character formula for $\mathfrak{S}_n$ (see, e.g. [6]).

The trace of the transition matrix $\boldsymbol{F}_{n,l}^\lambda(\alpha)$ is

$$F_{n,l}^\lambda(\alpha)\stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=}\operatorname{tr}\boldsymbol{F}_{n,l}^\lambda(\alpha)=\sum_{h\in H}\alpha^{\nu(h)}\omega^\lambda(h), \tag{2.8}$$

where ω^λ is the *zonal spherical function* for λ with respect to K defined by

$$\omega^\lambda(g)\stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=}\frac{1}{|K|}\sum_{k\in K}\chi^\lambda(kg)\quad(g\in\mathfrak{S}_{nl}).$$

This is regarded as a generalization of the modified content polynomial since $F_{n,1}^\lambda(\alpha)=f^\lambda f_\lambda(\alpha)$ as we see above. It is much easier to handle these polynomials than the transition matrices. If we could prove that a transition matrix $\boldsymbol{F}_{n,l}^\lambda$ is a scalar matrix, then we would have $\boldsymbol{F}_{n,l}^\lambda=d^{-1}F_{n,l}^\lambda(\alpha)I$ and hence we see that the multiplicity of $\mathcal{M}_n^\lambda$ in $\boldsymbol{V}_{n,l}(\alpha)$ is completely controlled by the single polynomial $F_{n,l}^\lambda(\alpha)$. In this sense, it is desirable to obtain a characterization of the irreducible representations whose corresponding transition matrices are scalar as well as to get an explicit expression for the polynomials $F_{n,l}^\lambda(\alpha)$. We will investigate these polynomials $F_{n,l}^\lambda(\alpha)$ and their generalizations in [3].

Example 2.8. Let us calculate $F_{n,l}^{(nl-1,1)}(\alpha)$. We notice that $\chi^{(nl-1,1)}(g)=\mathrm{fix}_{nl}(g)-1$ where fix_{nl} denotes the number of fixed points in the natural action $\mathfrak{S}_{nl}\curvearrowright[nl]$. Hence we see that

$$F_{n,l}^{(nl-1,1)}(\alpha)=\sum_{h\in H}\alpha^{\nu(h)}\frac{1}{|K|}\sum_{k\in K}(\mathrm{fix}_{nl}(kh)-1)=\sum_{h\in H}\alpha^{\nu(h)}\frac{1}{|K|}\sum_{k\in K}\sum_{x\in[nl]}\delta_{khx,x}-\sum_{h\in H}\alpha^{\nu(h)}.$$

It is easily seen that $khx \neq x$ for any $k \in K$ if $hx \neq x$ ($x \in [nl]$). Thus it follows that

$$\frac{1}{|K|}\sum_{k\in K}\sum_{x\in[nl]}\delta_{khx,x} = \sum_{x\in[nl]}\delta_{hx,x}\frac{1}{|K|}\sum_{k\in K}\delta_{kx,x} = \frac{1}{l}\,\mathrm{fix}_{nl}(h) \qquad (h\in H).$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} F^{(nl-1,1)}_{n,l}(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{l}\sum_{h\in H}\alpha^{\nu(h)}\,\mathrm{fix}_{nl}(h) - \sum_{h\in H}\alpha^{\nu(h)} = F^{(n)}_{n,1}(\alpha)^{l-1}F^{(n-1,1)}_{n,1}(\alpha) \\ &= (n-1)(1-\alpha)(1-(n-1)\alpha)^{l-1}\prod_{i=1}^{n-2}(1+i\alpha)^{l}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that the transition matrix $\boldsymbol{F}^{(nl-1,1)}_{n,l}$ is a scalar one (see [4]), so that the multiplicity of $\mathcal{M}^{(nl-1,1)}_{n}$ in $\boldsymbol{V}_{n,l}(\alpha)$ is zero if $\alpha \in \{1,-1,-1/2,\ldots,-1/(n-1)\}$ and $n-1$ otherwise.

3 Irreducible decomposition of $\boldsymbol{V}_{2,l}(\alpha)$ and Jacobi polynomials

In this section, as a particular example, we consider the case where $n=2$ and calculate the transition matrix $\boldsymbol{F}^{\lambda}_{2,l}(\alpha)$ explicitly. Since the pair $(\mathfrak{S}_{2l}, K)$ is a *Gelfand pair* (see, e.g. [6]), it follows that

$$K_{\lambda(l^2)} = \left\langle \mathrm{ind}^{\mathfrak{S}_{2l}}_{K}\mathbf{1}_K,\, \mathcal{S}^{\lambda}\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{S}_{2l}} = 1$$

for each $\lambda \vdash 2n$ with $\ell(\lambda) \le 2$. Thus, in this case, the transition matrix is just a polynomial and is given by

$$\boldsymbol{F}^{\lambda}_{2,l}(\alpha) = \mathrm{tr}\,\boldsymbol{F}^{\lambda}_{2,l}(\alpha) = \sum_{h\in H}\alpha^{\nu(h)}\omega^{\lambda}(h) = \sum_{s=0}^{l}\binom{l}{s}\omega^{\lambda}(g_s)\alpha^{s}. \tag{3.1}$$

Here we put $g_s = (1, l+1)(2, l+2)\ldots(s, l+s) \in \mathfrak{S}_{2n}$. Now we write $\lambda = (2l-p, p)$ for some p ($0 \le p \le l$). The value $\omega^{(2l-p,p)}(g_s)$ of the zonal spherical function is calculated by Bannai and Ito [2, p.218] as

$$\omega^{(2l-p,p)}(g_s) = Q_p(s; -l-1, -l-1, l) = \sum_{j=0}^{p}(-1)^j\binom{p}{j}\binom{2l-p+1}{j}\binom{l}{j}^{-2}\binom{s}{j},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n(x;\alpha,\beta,N) &\overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} {}_3\tilde{F}_2\left(\begin{matrix}-n, n+\alpha+\beta+1, -x\\ \alpha+1, -N\end{matrix};1\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{N}(-1)^j\binom{n}{j}\binom{-n-\alpha-\beta-1}{j}\binom{-\alpha-1}{j}^{-1}\binom{N}{j}^{-1}\binom{x}{j} \end{aligned}$$

is the Hahn polynomial (see also [6, p.399]). We also denote by ${}_{n+1}\tilde{F}_n\left(\begin{smallmatrix}a_1,\ldots,a_p\\ b_1,\ldots,b_{q-1},-N\end{smallmatrix};x\right)$ the hypergeometric polynomial

$${}_p\tilde{F}_q\left(\begin{matrix}a_1,\ldots,a_p\\ b_1,\ldots,b_{q-1},-N\end{matrix};x\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{N}\frac{(a_1)_j\ldots(a_p)_j}{(b_1)_j\ldots(b_{q-1})_j(-N)_j}\frac{x^j}{j!}$$

for $p,q,N \in \mathbb{N}$ in general (see [1]). Further, if we put

$$G^{l}_{p}(x) \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} {}_2\tilde{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix}-p, l-p+1\\ -l\end{matrix};-x\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{p}(-1)^j\binom{p}{j}\binom{l-p+j}{j}\binom{l}{j}^{-1}x^j,$$

then we have the

Theorem 3.1. *Let l be a positive integer. It holds that*

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{2,l}^{(2l-p,p)}(\alpha)=\sum_{s=0}^{l}\binom{l}{s}Q_p(s;l-1,l-1,l)\alpha^s=(1+\alpha)^{l-p}G_p^l(\alpha)$$

for $p=0,1,\dots,l$.

Proof. Let us put $x=-1/\alpha$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{s=0}^{l}\binom{l}{s}Q_p(s;l-1,l-1,l)\alpha^s&=\sum_{j=0}^{p}(-1)^j\binom{p}{j}\binom{2l-p+1}{j}\binom{l}{j}^{-1}\alpha^j(1+\alpha)^{l-j}\\&=x^{-l}(x-1)^{l-p}\sum_{j=0}^{p}\binom{p}{j}\binom{2l-p+1}{j}\binom{l}{j}^{-1}(x-1)^{p-j}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1+\alpha)^{l-p}G_p^l(\alpha)=x^{-l}(x-1)^{l-p}\sum_{j=0}^{p}(-1)^j\binom{p}{j}\binom{l-p+j}{j}\binom{l}{j}^{-1}(-x)^{p-j}.$$

Here we use the elementary identity

$$\sum_{s=0}^{l}\binom{l}{s}\binom{s}{j}\alpha^s=\binom{l}{j}\alpha^j(1+\alpha)^{l-j}.$$

Hence, to prove the theorem, it is enough to verify

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p}\binom{p}{i}\binom{l-p+i}{i}\binom{l}{i}^{-1}x^{p-i}=\sum_{j=0}^{p}\binom{p}{j}\binom{2l-p+1}{j}\binom{l}{j}^{-1}(x-1)^{p-j}. \tag{3.2}$$

Comparing the coefficients of Taylor expansion of these polynomials at $x=1$, we notice that the proof is reduced to the equality

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r}\binom{l-i}{l-r}\binom{l-p+i}{l-p}=\binom{2l-p+1}{r} \tag{3.3}$$

for $0\le r\le p$, which is well known (see, e.g. (5.26) in [9]). Thus we have the conclusion. □

Thus we give another proof of the irreducible decomposition (1.7).

References

[1] G. E. Andrews, R. Askey and R. Roy: *Special Functions.* Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 71. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[2] E. Bannai and T. Ito: *Algebraic Combinatorics I, Association Schemes.* The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc., Menlo Park, CA, 1984.

[3] K. Kimoto: Generalized content polynomials toward α-determinant cyclic modules. Preprint (2007).

[4] K. Kimoto, S. Matsumoto and M. Wakayama: Alpha-determinant cyclic modules and Jacobi polynomials. arXiv: `0710.3669`.

[5] K. Kimoto and M. Wakayama: Invariant theory for singular α-determinants. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **115** (2008), no.1, 1–31.

[6] I. G. Macdonald: *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials, Second edition.* Oxford Univ. Press, 1995.

[7] S. Matsumoto: Alpha-pfaffian, pfaffian point process and shifted Schur measure. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **403** (2005), 369–398.

[8] S. Matsumoto and M. Wakayama: Alpha-determinant cyclic modules of $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$. *J. Lie Theory* **16** (2006), 393-405.

[9] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth and O. Patashnik: *Concrete Mathematics. A foundation for computer science. Second edition.* Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, MA, 1994.

[10] T. Shirai and Y. Takahashi: Random point fields associated with certain Fredholm determinants I: fermion, Poisson and boson point processes. *J. Funct. Anal.* **205** (2003), 414–463.

[11] D. Vere-Jones: A generalization of permanents and determinants. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **111** (1988), 119–124.

Kazufumi KIMOTO
Department of Mathematical Science, University of the Ryukyus
Senbaru, Nishihara, Okinawa 903-0231, Japan
`kimoto@math.u-ryukyu.ac.jp`